



## CHECK LIST FOR REVIEW OF DELIVERABLES<sup>1</sup>

Deliverable title	Report on development of training's content corresponding educational materials and selection of teaching staff	
Work package	3.3 Development of training's content corresponding educational materials and selection of teaching staff	
Author	Kurt Glock (BOKU)	
Deliverable Date	Most Feb 2018 and others July 2018	

Project number: 573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP

"This project has been funded with support from the European Commission. This publication reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This questionnaire concerns quality issues of NatRisk upon completion of each deliverable. Reviewer appointed by QAC completes the form and submits it by email to WP leader, Task leader and Project Coordinator (natriskuni@gmail.com). The achieved results will be useful for the project's risk management. They will also make part of the QAC final report, as well as of the Coordinator's progress and final reporting to EACEA.





Assurance point	Issues to be	Assessment	Comments	Recommendations
	addressed			
Compliance with NatRisk objectives	Does the deliverable comply with the overall objectives of the project?	Yes No Partially		
Compliance with the specfic objectives of the WP	Does the deliverable comply with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description?	∑ Yes     □ No     □ Partially		
Correspondence with the description of work of the relevant activity	Does the deliverable correspond with the activity description as specified in the Application Form?	Yes No Partially		
Compliance with the deliverables format	Is the deliverable presented using the Project's deliverable format – Annex A?	☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Partially	A different format is used, but it seems to adequat for this kind report.	
Adequacy of complementary information	Examples of complementary info: - Sources used, - Bibliography, - List of contacts, - Methodology used.	Yes No Partially		
Adequacy of written language	Level of written English	Excellent Adequate Poor		
Overall assessment and suggestions for improvement  Deadline for submiss.		ion of the Lat		